Application No. 10/561,006 Examiner: Dionne Pendleton Attorney Docket No. 019599.04US1 Art Unit: 2627

Response to Office Action Mailed September 13, 2007

Page 5 of 5

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending. Applicant amended Claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 and cancelled Claims

2, 4, 8, and 11-20. Thus, Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 10 are at issue. Applicant respectfully requests

reconsideration of Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 10 in light of the present Amendments and Remarks.

In the Office Action dated September 13, 2007, the Examiner indicated that dependent

Claim 15 (dependent on Claim 1) would be allowable if rewritten into independent form.

Applicant has amended Claim 1 to include all of the limitations of claim 15. Therefore, Claim 1

is in condition for allowance. All other currently pending claims depend either directly or

indirectly from Claim 1. Therefore, Claims 3, 5, 6, 6, and 10 are also in condition for allowance.

Thus, Applicant respectfully requests allowance of all pending claims.

CONCLUSION

Applicant requests entry of the present amendments and examination of the pending

claims. It is believed that no fees are due as a result of this Response. Nevertheless, the

Commissioner is authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit

Account No. 502261. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned if the Examiner

believes a telephone conference would expedite allowance of the present claims and application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 13, 2007

By: /James P. Muraff/

James P. Muraff, Reg. No. 39,785

Neal, Gerber & Eisenberg LLP

Two North LaSalle Street

Chicago, Illinois 60602

(312) 269-8000

NGEDOCS: 1466384.2